

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 have been amended; claims 42 through 45 have been added; and claims 3 and 6-41 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 42 through 45 are pending and under consideration. The amendments contained herein are believed to place the application in condition or allowance, and no new matter is presented in this Amendment.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

Claims 1-2, 7-16, 22, 24-25, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Cho et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0176693). Claims 6 through 41 have been canceled without prejudice. This rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

With regard to independent claim 1, the Examiner states that FIGs. 8 and 9 and their respective disclosures teach that the "script files (and its names) are used to define the moment the script files are to be reproduced along with the audio/video data stored in the data zone[.]" Office action at page 4. The disclosure of Cho et al. in paragraphs [0045] through [0051] indicate, as the Examiner stated, that the names of the script files, e.g. "10000.sc" or "-30000.sc," indicate when the DVD player is to read the information within the script file so as to link the script file directly with the moving picture data. The "-" indicates that there is no information contained in that particular script file. The information contained in the script file is merely "additional information related with the presented moving pictures at a the same time while watching the moving pictures." Cho et al. at para. [0043].

In contrast, independent claim 1 recites "a first file comprising reproduction information for reproducing audio visual stream data, the reproduction information comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of the audio visual stream data; and a second file comprising navigation data which is used to select the reproduction information, wherein the first file and the second file are recorded separately on the data storage medium" which is disclosed nowhere in Cho et al. The second file, as recited in claim 1, comprises navigation data used to select the reproduction information of the first file, and that "the first file and the second file are recorded separately on the data storage medium." Because Cho et al. does not recite all of the features

of independent claim 1, Cho et al. cannot anticipate independent claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejections thereof and allow independent claim 1 to issue.

Moreover, because claim 2 depends from independent claim 1, and dependent claims incorporate therein all of the features of the claims on which they depend, dependent claim 2 is patentable for at least similar reasons as independent claim 1. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection thereof and allow dependent claim 2 to issue.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103:

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0176693) in view of Fujinami et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,455,684). Claims 3 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice. This rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

As dependent claims 4 and 5 depend from independent claim 1, dependent claims 4 and 5 are patentable for at least similar reasons as independent claim 1, i.e., Cho et al. fails to disclose the second file comprises navigation data used to select the reproduction information of the first file and that "the first file and the second file are recorded separately on the data storage medium" as recited in independent claim 1. Further, Fujinami et al. does not cure such deficiencies of Cho et al. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of dependent claims 4 and 5 and allow dependent claims 4 and 5 to issue.

Claims 17-21, 23, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0176693) in view of Niranjani et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0046778). Claims 17-21, 23, and 26-28 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Based on the foregoing, this rejection is respectfully requested to be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION:

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 07/10/06

By: 

Nathan H. Cristler
Registration No. 61,736

1400 Eye St., N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510